Morphological identification of adult male *Haemonchus* species in goats from Thailand and Lao PDR

Vongnady, K.¹, Rucksaken, R.¹ and Mangkit, B.^{1*}

¹Department of Veterinary Technology, Faculty of Veterinary Technology, Kasetsart University,

Bangkhen Campus, Bangkok 10900, Thailand

*Corresponding author e-mail: fvetbdm@ku.ac.th

Received 24 March 2020; received in revised form 8 July 2020; accepted 8 July 2020

Abstract. Haemonchus spp. or barber's pole worms are one of the most highly pathogenic nematodes of ruminants causing economic losses in livestock worldwide. The current study was a first attempt to identify Haemonchus spp. from goats in Thailand and Lao PDR. Utilizing the inexpensive tools of the discriminant function (DF) combined with synlophe patterns is fundamental for understanding their epidemiological aspects. In total, 255 randomly chosen adult male Haemonchus worms from goats in various areas in each country were identified individually. For both these countries, about 94% based on the DF values, and 99%, 98%, and 97% based on synlophe patterns in the region of the esophageal intestinal junction (EI), 4 mm from the anterior end, and at both these positions, respectively, were identified as H. contortus. Other identified specimens defined as H. placei and hybrids as well as unclassified species based on synlophe patterns were proved using polymerase chain reaction (PCR); this also included some randomly chosen H. contortus by DF and synlophe patterns. All those specimens were confirmed as *H. contortus* being strongly supported by some genetic evidences and UPGMA analysis. Thus, it was assumed that all specimens in the current study were *H. contortus*. The morphological differences of this predominant species (H. contortus) in goats between the two countries were: body length, gubernaculum length, and left spicule barb length, while almost all characters of male worms individually measured appeared to overlap, mostly in H. contortus and H. placei, which may lead to misclassification. Therefore, using the DF along with synlophe patterns can assist in increasing the accuracy of Haemonchus spp. identification from goats in some areas where funding is limited, particularly in Lao PDR. The present results revealed that synlophe patterns in the EI region seemed to be promising for the identification of *Haemonchus* spp., while molecular techniques are also required to address ambiguous identification with some specimens.

INTRODUCTION

Haemonchus spp. commonly recognized as barber's pole worm, cause haemonchosis and are found in the abomasa of large and small ruminants worldwide (Lichtenfels & Pilitt, 2000; Achi *et al.*, 2003). Two major species have the greatest veterinary importance, namely *Haemonchus contortus* and *Haemonchus placei*. *H. contortus* is normally found in goats and sheep while *H. placei* is known to be a parasite of cattle (Lichtenfels *et al.*, 1994). *H. contortus* is the most pathogenic of the common nematodes, particularly in young animals (Hoberg *et al.*, 2004) and its blood-feeding behavior results in severe anemia and weight loss, with death eventually occurring in cases of heavy infection (Besier *et al.*, 2016). These outcomes lead to a reduction in animal production and increase production costs, causing huge economic losses worldwide (Stevenson *et al.*, 1995; Waller & Chandrawathani, 2005; Wang *et al.*, 2017; Sallé *et al.*, 2019). For example, in endemic areas of Australia, the mean annual cost due to *H. contortus* causing ewe death accounted for AUS 11/head, including treatment and cost of diagnosis (Besier *et al.*, 2016). In Thailand and Lao PDR, goat production is currently increasing, mostly due to smallholders as a means of generating income for local people, where haemonchosis in small ruminants such as goats caused by Haemonchus spp. including H. contortus seems to be a regular problem since the main strategies for parasite control are probably not effective as a consequence of drug resistance (Waller et al., 2004; Waller & Chandrawathani, 2005; Pralomka & Boonsanit, 2012; Sallé et al., 2019) and the ability of parasites that can adapt to variable environments in temperate and tropical climates (Troell et al., 2006). Although the losses due to the impacts of this parasite have not been officially recorded in both countries, this parasitic infection in Thailand (Laosutthipong & Eardmusic, 2019) and Lao PDR (Sato et al., 2014) has also been continually associated with a high infection rate (86.67% and 69%, respectively).

An accurate identification of Haemonchus spp. distributed in goats will help to understand their epidemiological picture, current status, and can also be applied to support effective parasite control (Jacquiet et al., 1995; Silva et al., 2015). Using a traditional method such as stool examination to examine the parasite's egg is of limited value, since the morphology of their eggs is somewhat similar to that of other strongyle nematodes and hence is difficult to differentiate (Valderrabano et al., 2002) while identification of the infective larval stage via the fecal culture technique is useful for species identification, but is time-consuming and should be performed by scientific experts (Waller *et al.*, 2004; Besier et al., 2016). A discriminant function (DF) combining the measurements of adult male Hamonchus spp. based on spicule length and spicule barb length is a rapid, easy and reliable tool (Achi et al., 2003; Amarante, 2011; Gharamah et al., 2011) that is commonly used to identified the three main Haemonchus spp. (H. contortus, H. placei and H. similis) occurring in naturally infected goats and sheep (Le Jambre & Whitlock, 1968; Jacquiet et al., 1995; Achi et al., 2003; Kumsa et al., 2008; Gharamah et al., 2011; Santos et al., 2014; Vadlejch et al., 2014) while synlophe or cuticular ridge patterns

presenting on the body of parasite are one of the most useful characters used for identifying male and female Haemonchus spp. (Lichtenfels et al., 1994; Lichtenfels & Pilitt, 2000) and also has been applied for the identification of *Haemonchus* spp. in various areas, namely the United States (Lichtenfels et al., 1986), Malaysia (Rahman & Abd Hamid, 2007; Gharamah et al., 2011), and Brazil (Silva et al., 2015). Moreover, in some situations when the spicule measurements have overlapping values between H. placei and H. contortus, the synlophe patterns can facilitate identification (Amarante, 2011). Molecular methods of which polymerase chain reaction (PCR) is one of the most advanced techniques are more rapid, and have high sensitivity and specificity for identifying worms with high certainty, including *Haemonchus* spp. (Roeber et al., 2011). However, the high cost of such techniques is a disadvantage (Comes et al., 1996; Achi et al., 2003; Gharamah et al., 2011), and these techniques might not be suitable for routine use, particularly in some developing countries where budgets are limited.

This was the first study to attempt to apply useful traditional methods as a low cost diagnosis based on morphological characters to identify adult male Haemonchus spp. in goats obtained from Thailand and neighboring Lao PDR, where advanced technologies such as PCR and also financial support are still restricted while haemonchosis in goats in both countries seems to be seriously affecting animal health and decreasing goat production. Thus, the aim of this research was to identify adult male Haemonchus spp. in infected goats collected from different areas in Thailand and Lao PDR by using a discriminant function (DF) combined with cuticular ridge patterns. PCR using the ITS2 region, a low intravariation of ribosomal DNA (rDNA) was used to identify and confirm Haemonchus spp. in some cases (Stevenson et al., 1995; Gasser & Newton, 2000) with consideration of some basic genetic and phylogenetic data. Moreover, measurements of common morphological characters of predominant Haemonchus spp. were recorded and compared, consisting of: total body length, cervical papillae, esophagus length, right and left spicule lengths, right and left spicule barb lengths and gubernaculum length. The significance of the distribution of known species of *Haemonchus* spp. in each country from this research will lead to improved strategies for parasite control and a future prevention program.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area, animal hosts, and parasites Adult male Haemonchus spp. were collected from the abomasa of goats in different local slaughterhouses in different geographical zones of Thailand and Lao PDR during the period from June to July 2019 (Figure 1). Most goats included in this research in Thailand were mixed breed while almost all animals in Lao PDR were native goats. Goats showed some possible clinical signs such as poor overall body condition, emaciation and loss of hair or rough hair coat were selected primarily for this investigation. Three different provinces of each country were chosen based on geographical distance apart (more than 500 km and 250 km in Thailand and Lao PDR, respectively) with high animal populations including there was scanty information of Haemonchus spp. in goats. In Thailand, the three provinces selected in the northern, central, and southern regions were Chiang Rai (19°54'30.89"N and 99°49'57.00"E), Chai Nat (15°11'3.60"N and 100°07'17.40"E) and Nakhon Sri Thammarat (8°26'6.59"N and 99°57'28.19"E), respectively. For Lao PDR, Luang Prabang province (19°53'21.3756"N and 102°8'0.4308"E) Savannakhet province (16°32'59.99"N and 104°44'59.99"E) and Champasak province (15°6'37.8252"N and 105°49'2.2476"E) located in the northern, central, and southern regions, respectively, were chosen.

Thirty and 68 infected goats from Thailand and Lao PDR were detected from 63 and 103 goats, respectively. The collected adult male worms from the abomasa were collected with modifications to Soulsby (1982) and MAFF (1971). The abomasa were opened and the contents inside were sieved and washed carefully with tap water. Then, the parasites were carefully collected and placed in a clean petri dish containing 0.85% normal saline. Adult male parasites obtained from individual hosts were washed three times with this physiological saline prior to fixing in 70% ethanol and storing at -20°C for further study.

Morphological characterization and species identification

Individual adult males of *Haemonchus* spp. were randomly selected from infected individual hosts in each province of Thailand and Lao PDR, with 255 adult male worms (85 worms/province) in each country being used. First, the posterior end of each worm was cut above the bursa to measure the spicule length (right: RSL and left: LSL), the tip to the hook of right and left spicule barbs (right: THr and left: THI), and the gubernaculum (GL) (Figure 2). Finally, synlophe patterns were cut in cross section at two main positions, namely the esophageal-intestinal junction (EI) and 4 mm from the anterior end. All measurements such as cervical papillae, esophagus length, and etc. were carried out under light microscopy at 40x/50x, 100x and 400x magnification (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan; Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH, Jena, Germany) except for the body length which was measured using stereomicroscopy (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan).

The discriminant function (DF) described by Jacquiet *et al.* (1997) and Achi *et al.* (2003) comprising three main parameters of male worms-TL (mean), THr, and THI was calculated using the formula:

$DF = 0.0016 \, TL + 0.128 \, THr + 0.152 \, THl - 9.97$

Identification of *Haemonchus* spp. based on the DF value was classified into three categories: DF < 0.63 = H. *contortus*; 0.63 < DF < 3 = H. *placei*; DF > 4 = H. *similis*.

Observations of synlophe patterns in all adult male worms of *Haemonchus* spp. from both countries were conducted according to the procedure described by Lichtenfels *et al.* (1994) and Vongnady *et al.* (2020). Briefly, all specimens were cut on cross section at the level of the EI region and 4 mm from the



Figure 1. Sampling locations of *Haemonchus* spp. in goats from Thailand and Lao PDR.



Figure 2. Some morphological characters of adult male *Haemonchus* spp. in goats from Thailand and Lao PDR used for species identification: (A) A.1, right/left spicules; A.2, right/left spicule barbs; (B) gubernaculum; (C) C.1, esophagus; C.2, esophageal intestinal junction; (D) cervical papillae.

anterior end using a freehand cut with a shape razor blade. These cross sections were mounted in glycerin jelly and left about 5 min before viewing and counting the number of cuticular ridges under a light microscope at 100x and 400x magnification. Identification of *Haemonchus* spp. using the synlophe patterns was based on three main researcher references, with the synlophe patterns at

the EI region of H. contortus, H. placei and H. similis having 30, 34 and 34 ridges, respectively, (Lichtenfels *et al.*, 1994; Silva *et al.*, 2015) while at 4 mm, there were 30 ridges for each of H. placei and H. similis (Lichtenfels *et al.*, 1994) as well as H. contortus having 25 ridges (Lichtenfels *et al.*, 1994) and 24 and 26 ridges (Rahman & Abd Hamid, 2007).

Molecular identification

DNA extraction

Only 24 and 26 adult male worms from Thailand (Table 2) and Lao PDR (Table 3), respectively, were used for DNA isolation. These specimens derived from *H. placei* and hybrids that were identified using the DF, including unclassified species based on synlophe patterns at both positions as well as four worms in Thailand and eight worms in Lao PDR that were identified as H. contortus using the DF value and synlophe patterns. The rest of the parasite body from morphological characterization was extracted for genomic DNA using a DNA commercial tissue kit GF-1 Tissue DNA Extraction Kit (Vivantis Technologies Sdn, Bhd, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia). The procedure was carried out according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, the remaining parts of each whole male worm were transferred to a 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tube. The parasite tissues were mechanically ground and with 250 µL of lysis buffer, and then 20 µL of proteinase K with 12 µL of lysis enhancer were added. The homogeneous mixture was incubated at 65°C for 90 min. After added binding buffer, this homogeneous mixture was incubated at 65°C for 10 min. The purified DNA binding on the membrane was eluted in elution buffer. The concentration of eluted DNA was measured using the NanoDrop procedure (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, USA) and stored at -20°C until used.

PCR amplification and DNA sequencing

The ribosomal DNA internal transcribed spacer 2 (ITS2) region was amplified with specific primer sets described by Stevenson *et al.* (1995). PCR reaction reported by Vongnady *et al.* (2020) was prepared in a total volume of 20 µL containing 10X Taq Buffer with (NH4)₂SO₄, 5mM of each dNTPs, 25mM MgCl₂, 10µM of each primer, 1.25U/µL of Taq DNA polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, USA) and 2 µL of DNA template. Negative (Water for molecular biology; AmpliChem GmbH-An ITW Company, Darmstadt, Germany) and positive samples (DNA sample of *H. contortus*) were added

in each PCR run. The PCR cycling program (Thermal cycler; SensoQuest GmbH, Göttingen, Germany) with 30 cycles followed Gharamah et al. (2012), Mangkit et al. (2014) and Vongnady et al. (2020). In each case, 5 µL of each PCR product was added on 1% agarose gel stained with FluoroVueTM Nucleic Acid Gel Stain (SMOBIO Technology, Inc, Hsinchu City, Taiwan). The PCR products were run on 0.5xTBE buffer using electrophoresis (Hoefer, Inc., Massachusetts, USA) for 45 min at 80 V, and then the PCR products (321 bp) were compared with the DNA molecular marker (100 bp ladders) (Vivantis Technologies Sdn, Bhd, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia) and photographed using a gel documentation system (Omega Fluor and Omega Fluor Plus systems, Aplegen Inc., California, USA). All PCR products were purified and sequenced in both directions by Macrogen, Korea.

Data analysis

All randomly selected male *Haemonchus* spp. from goats distributed in three provinces each for Thailand and Lao PDR were identified as H. contortus based on the DF and synlophe patterns together with species confirmation from molecular analysis in some specimens. Morphological measurements and relevant data obtained from each morphological character of H. contortus were stored in a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet and some simple descriptive statistics were calculated. Most data were analyzed using R Studio version 3.6.1 to compare each character of *H. contortus* between two countries. Since all data were not normally distributed, the Wilcoxon Signed-Rank test, a non-parametric statistic was used. Significant difference was tested using a p-value less than 0.05. The ITS2 sequences at 231 bp were aligned and blasted via the MEGA program version 7 (Kumar et al., 2016) while all DNA sequences from each country were grouped into genotypes. The polymorphisms of all genotypes in each country were compared with referent sequences of H. contortus (Accession number: X78803) and H. placei (Accession numbers: X78812, KF364623 and MH481601) in previous reports, and also the percentage

identity of each genotype was determined using the BioEdit package (Hall, 1999). Phylogenetic analysis via the MEGA program version 7 of H. contortus genotypes from Thailand and Lao PDR including another sequence of H. contortus from various hosts in different countries (Accession numbers: AB908961: Lao PDR; EU086378, EU084691: United States; HQ389229: Iran; JX869066: the Czech Republic; JX901156: Tunisia; KJ724250, KJ724315: Pakistan; KP101363, KP101364: Thailand; KP090288, KP090290: Egypt; KC415117, KY305780: China; KF364629, KC632567, X78803: Australia; LC360146, LC360147: Bangladesh; MH481574, MH481598: Ghana) used the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA) method with a bootstrap of 1000 replications based on the Kimura-2 parameter model and was constructed by comparison with outgroups (H. placei).

RESULTS

Adult male *Haemonchus* spp. used in the current study were collected from the abomasa of goats in Thailand and Lao PDR. The proportion of *Haemonchus* spp. in goats accounted for 47.62% (30/63) and 66.02% (68/103) in the three provinces sampled in each of Thailand and Lao PDR, respectively. All 255 adult male worms (85 worms/ province) were utilized for species identification based on the discriminant function (DF) and synlophe patterns (esophageal intestinal junction, EI and 4 mm from anterior end). The DF value and synlophe patterns in Thailand showed that 93.73% (239/255) of adult worms were identified as H. contortus and 5.88% (15/255) of male worms were classified as H. placei, while only one worm was a hybrid (DF=0.63) (Table 1). The cuticular ridges of *H. contortus* in the EI region revealed that 99.22% (253/255) of specimens were *H. contortus* presenting 30 ridges, while 0.78% (2/255) of specimens were unidentified (2 worms showing 29 ridges). At 4 mm from the anterior end, the synlophe patterns revealed that 98.43% (251/255) of worms showed 26 ridges and

were recognized as H. contortus while 1.57% (4/255) of male worms were unidentified species having 27 ridges (Figure 3) and using both positions, 97.65% (249/255) of worms were *H. contortus* (Table 1). In Lao PDR, the DF value determined that 94.12% (240/255) of adult worms were identified as H. contortus and 5.10% (13/255) of Haemonchus specimens were H. placei, while 2 worms were identified as hybrid (DF=0.63) (Table 1). The cuticular ridge patterns (Table 1) in the EI region showed that 99.22% (253/255) of specimens were H. contortus showing 30 ridges, with 0.78% (2/255) of worms not identified (2 worms had 29 ridges) while at 4 mm from the anterior end, the synlophe patterns indicated 97.65% (249/255) were *H. contortus* (showing 26) ridges) and 2.35% (6/255) of worms were unknown species, showing 27 ridges (Figure 3), and examination at both positions identified 96.86% (247/255) of specimens as H. contortus. However, some specimens from both countries identified as H. placei (DF>0.63) and hybrids (DF=0.63) based on the DF results (Figure 4), including unclassified species based on cuticular patterns at both positions, were confirmed using the PCR method and sequencing (Tables 2 and 3). Then, all sequences that were blasted for a preliminary check with the *Haemonchus* spp. in the GenBank database were classified as H. contortus.

In total, 24 and 26 adult male H. contortus mentioned earlier in goats from Thailand (Table 2) and Lao PDR (Table 3) respectively, were confirmed using PCR based on ITS2 with specific bands at 321 bp. A 231 bp of ITS2 sequences of H. contortus was used, and then was defined to six and ten distinct genotypes from original sequences in Thailand (Accession numbers: MT682914-MT682919) and Lao PDR (Accession numbers: MT682957-MT682966), respectively (Table 5). The sequence identity of genotypes derived from the H. contortus population ranged from 98.20 to 99.50% homology in each country. All genotypes in both countries were compared with reference sequences of H. contortus (Accession numbers: X78803, EU084691, KP101363) and the results indicated that sequence

					Identifi	cation based o	n DF and s	ynlophe patter	rns		
Country	Province	No. of worms		DF		EI		4mn	U	EI/41	um
			Hc(%)	(%)dH	Hybrid(%)	Hc(%)	NP(%)	Hc(%)	NP(%)	Hc(%)	NP(%)
	Chiang Rai	85	81	4	0	84	1	85	0	84	1
Thailand	Chai Nat	85	79	9	0	84	1	84	1	83	7
	Nakhon Sri Thammarat	85	79	5	1	85	0	82	3	82	С
Total		255	239(93.73)	15(5.88)	1(0.39)	253(99.22)	2(0.78)	251(98.43)	4(1.57)	249(97.65)	6(2.35)
	Luangpabang	85	79	5	1	84	-	83	2	82	С
Lao PDR	Savannakhet	85	81	4	0	84	1	84	1	83	7
	Champasak	85	80	4	1	85	0	82	3	82	б
Total		255	240(94.12)	13(5.10)	2(0.78)	253(99.22)	2(0.78)	249(97.65)	6(2.35)	247(96.86)	8(3.14)

Table 1. Identification of adult male Haemonchus spp. in goats from Thailand and Lao PDR based on the DF and synlophe patterns

698



Figure 3. Synlophe patterns (cross section) of *H. contortus* in Thailand; (A) at esophageal intestinal junction, showing 30 ridges; (B) 4 mm region from the anterior end, showing 26 ridges; (E) esophageal intestinal junction, showing 29 ridges; (F) 4 mm region from the anterior end, showing 27 ridges, in Lao PDR; (C) esophageal intestinal junction, showing 30 ridges; (D) 4 mm region from the anterior end, showing 26 ridges; (G) esophageal intestinal junction, showing 29 ridges; (H) 4 mm region from the anterior end, showing 27 ridges.



Figure 4. The value of discriminant function (DF) of individual adult male *Haemonchus* spp. in goats from Thailand and Lao PDR (dark black line, DF = 0.63).

identities ranged from 98.20 to 100% homology in Thailand and from 97.80 to 100% homology in Lao PDR. In addition, these genotypes for each country were compared with the outgroup *H. placei* (Accession numbers: X78812, KF364623, MH481601). This comparison showed 96.10–98.70% homology (Table 4). Moreover, the multiple alignments of six genotypes of H. contortus from Thailand compared with two sequence references (Accession numbers: X78803, KP101363) revealed six variable nucleotide positions: 10, 18, 21, 22, 123, and 196. Only two mutations (two: T<->C) were transition while four mutations were transversion (one: C<->A; two: T<->A; one: C<->G). In Lao PDR, the nucleotide polymorphism of the ten genotypes presented eight point mutations at positions 4, 10, 18, 21, 22, 55, 123 and 196. Five transversions (two: C<->A; two: T<->A; one: C<->G) and three transitions (three: T < ->C) were detected. In addition, three main positions of genetic variations between H. contortus and H. placei was detected at positions 24, 205, and 219 (Table 5). The phylogenetic tree based on UPGMA analyzed with *H. contortus* sequences from different hosts in several countries revealed that all genotypes of H. contortus from Thailand and Lao PDR were grouped into two main groups (Figure 5), and distinctly differed from the

three reference sequences of *H. placei* (Accession numbers: X78812, KF364623, MH481601) with a mean sequence identity of 97.09% homology. Group 1 comprised two genotypes from Thailand and three genotypes from Lao PDR as well as two sequences from Ghana. Group 2 was composed of four genotypes from Thailand and seven genotypes from Lao PDR which shared the same cluster with *H. contortus* from Egypt, China, Australia, Lao PDR, Thailand, Iran, Bangladesh, the Czech Republic, the United States, Pakistan, and Tunisia with a mean sequence identity of 98.77% homology.

Morphometric data obtained from the 255 adult male H. contortus goats in the two countries were measured and compared. According to the Wilcoxon signed-rank test used, there were no significant differences in the mean and median length of cervical papillae, esophagus length, spicule length (right/left), spicule barb length (right), the synlophe patterns in the EI region (mean= 29.99 ridges, median=30 ridges) and 4 mm from the anterior end (mean=26.01 ridges for Thailand and 26.02 ridges for Lao PDR, median=26 ridges) (p>0.05). However, there were significant differences in the body length, gubernaculum, and spicule barb length (left) between the H. contortus

	S.
	ĸ
	H
	5
	Ц
	Ę.
	S.
	te
	<u>.</u>
	aı
	Ξ.
	2
	<u> </u>
	0
	ā.
	딮
	d D
	Ē
	5
	_
	ъ
	0
•	50
	õ
	4
	0
	q
	д
	Ξ
	z
	Ħ
	5
	Ξ
	J
	Ъ
	Õ
	S
	g
	Ŷ
	d
	ă
	a
7	1
•	a
	đ
i	_
	<u>_</u>
	В
	2
	Ľ
٢	÷
	S
	Ę,
	ğ
	5
	(L)
	Ц
•	-
	÷
	H
	<u>بر</u>
	~ ~ ~
	ŝ
	SS
	s sn
	s snu.
	chus s
	nchus s
	onchus s
	monchus s
	emonchus s
	aemonchus s
	Haemonchus s
	Haemonchus s
	e Haemonchus s
	ale Haemonchus s
	nale Haemonchus s
	male Haemonchus s
	t male Haemonchus s
	ilt male Haemonchus s
	tult male Haemonchus s
	adult male Haemonchus s
	adult male Haemonchus s
	e adult male Haemonchus s
	me adult male Haemonchus s
	ome adult male Haemonchus s
	some adult male Haemonchus s
	t some adult male Haemonchus s
	of some adult male Haemonchus s
	s of some adult male Haemonchus s
-	es of some adult male Haemonchus s
-	ses of some adult male Haemonchus s
-	ypes of some adult male Haemonchus s
	types of some adult male Haemonchus s
-	otypes of some adult male Haemonchus s
-	notypes of some adult male Haemonchus s
-	enotypes of some adult male Haemonchus s
	genotypes of some adult male Haemonchus s
	a genotypes of some adult male <i>Haemonchus</i> s
-	nd genotypes of some adult male Haemonchus s
	and genotypes of some adult male Haemonchus s
	and genotypes of some adult male <i>Haemonchus</i> s
- - -	on and genotypes of some adult male Haemonchus s
-	ton and genotypes of some adult male Haemonchus s
•	tion and genotypes of some adult male Haemonchus s
•	ation and genotypes of some adult male Haemonchus s
•	ication and genotypes of some adult male Haemonchus s
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	tication and genotypes of some adult male Haemonchus s
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	tification and genotypes of some adult male Haemonchus s
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ntification and genotypes of some adult male <i>Haemonchus</i> s
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	entitication and genotypes of some adult male Haemonchus s
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	dentification and genotypes of some adult male Haemonchus s
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	identification and genotypes of some adult male Haemonchus s
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s identification and genotypes of some adult male Haemonchus s
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	es identification and genotypes of some adult male Haemonchus s
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	cies identification and genotypes of some adult male <i>Haemonchus</i> s
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ecies identification and genotypes of some adult male Haemonchus s
	pecies identification and genotypes of some adult male Haemonchus s
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Species identification and genotypes of some adult male <i>Haemonchus</i> s
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Species identification and genotypes of some adult male Haemonchus s
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2. Species identification and genotypes of some adult male <i>Haemonchus</i> s
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2. Species identification and genotypes of some adult male <i>Haemonchus</i> s
	le 2. Species identification and genotypes of some adult male <i>Haemonchus</i> s
	ole 2. Species identification and genotypes of some adult male <i>Haemonchus</i> s
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	able 2. Species identification and genotypes of some adult male <i>Haemonchus</i> s
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	lable 2. Species identification and genotypes of some adult male <i>Haemonchus</i> s

									Species	identificati	ion		Molecular o	onfirmation
Genotype	No. of worms	Province	Sample code	RSL	TSL	THr	IHI	DF		ŝ	vnlophe		PCR(ITS2)	Sequencing
				(mm)	(mn)	(mn)	(mn)	.		EI	4 mm			
		CR	HCMTCR3N9	460.00	460.00	52.50	25.00	1.29	Hp	30.00	26.00	Hc	+	Hc
		CR	HCMTCR3N37	450.00	450.00	50.00	25.00	0.95	Чp	30.00	26.00	Hc	+	Hc
		CR	HCMTCR7N2	430.00	430.00	45.00	22.50	-0.10	Hc	30.00	26.00	Hc	+	Hc
		CR	HCMTCR9N2	490.00	485.00	50.00	25.00	1.01	Hp	30.00	26.00	Hc	+	Hc
		CN	HCMTCN6N4	460.00	460.00	50.00	25.00	0.97	Hp	30.00	26.00	Hc	+	Hc
HCMTNS1N17	10	CN	HCMTCN9N1	460.00	460.00	47.50	25.00	0.65	Hp	30.00	26.00	Hc	+	Hc
		NS	HCMTNS1N17	410.00	420.00	40.00	22.50	-0.77	Hc	30.00	26.00	Hc	+	Hc
		NS	HCMTNS2N1	460.00	460.00	45.00	27.25	0.67	Hp	30.00	26.00	Hc	+	Hc
		NS	HCMTNS4N16	390.00	400.00	37.50	17.50	-1.88	Hc	30.00	27.00	NP	+	Hc
		NS	HCMTNS5N3	450.00	450.00	48.75	26.25	0.98	Нр	30.00	26.00	Hc	+	Hc
		CR	HCMTCR4N12	460.00	460.00	47.50	25.00	0.65	Нp	30.00	26.00	Hc	+	Hc
		CR	HCMTCR5N2	480.00	475.00	47.50	22.50	0.29	Hc	30.00	26.00	Hc	+	Hc
		CN	HCMTCN3N2	410.00	415.00	45.00	22.50	-0.13	Hc	29.00	26.00	NP	+	Hc
HCMTCN3ND	8	CN	HCMTCN7N1	425.00	425.00	50.00	25.00	0.91	Чp	30.00	27.00	NP	+	Hc
	0	CN	HCMTCN15N9	460.00	460.00	47.50	25.00	0.65	Чp	30.00	26.00	Hc	+	Hc
		NS	HCMTNS3N8	450.00	450.00	47.50	25.00	0.63	hybrid	30.00	26.00	Hc	+	Hc
		NS	HCMTNS3N10	450.00	445.00	52.50	27.50	1.65	Hp	30.00	27.00	NP	+	Hc
		NS	HCMTNS7N1	410.00	410.00	45.00	23.75	0.06	Hc	30.00	27.00	NP	+	Hc
		CN	HCMTCN5N2	410.00	405.00	46.25	27.50	0.78	Нp	30.00	26.00	Hc	+	Hc
HCMTCN5N2	3	CN	HCMTCN14N9	435.00	430.00	45.00	22.50	-0.10	Hc	30.00	26.00	Hc	+	Hc
		NS	HCMTNS1N7	450.00	450.00	50.00	27.50	1.33	Нр	30.00	26.00	Нс	+	Hc
HCMTCN15N1	1	CN	HCMTCN15N1	460.00	460.00	47.50	25.00	0.65	Нр	30.00	26.00	Hc	+	Hc
HCMTCR2N1	1	CN	HCMTCR2N1	410.00	410.00	40.00	20.00	-1.15	Hc	29.00	26.00	NP	+	Hc
HCMTNS2N2	1	NS	HCMTNS2N2	465.00	465.00	50.00	26.25	1.16	Чp	30.00	26.00	Нс	+	Hc
Abbreviations: RS. EI, esophageal-inte	L, right spi	icule length;] tion; 4 mm, 1	LSL, left spicule ler egion at 4 mm fron	ngth; THr, 1 n the anteri	tip-to-hook or end; PCI	of right s R, Polyme	picule; TH srase chain	1, tip-to-h	ook of lef. CR, Chia	t spicule; ng Rai; C	DF, disc N, Chai	riminant Nat; NS	t function; 5, Nakhon Sri 7	hammarat.

									Species	identificat	tion		Molecular o	onfirmation
Genotype	No. of worms	Province	Sample code	RSL	TSL	THr	THI	D	Ł	S.	ynlophe		PCR(ITS2)	Sequencing
				(mn)	(mn)	(mn)	(mn)	.		EI	4 mm			
		LP	HCMLLP1N1	455.00	460.00	47.50	25.00	0.64	Нp	30.00	26.00	Hc	+	Hc
		LP	HCMLLP5N2	490.00	480.00	50.00	25.00	1.01	Hp	29.00	26.00	NP	+	Hc
		LP	HCMLLP5N3	460.00	460.00	50.00	25.00	0.97	Hp	30.00	27.00	NP	+	Hc
		LP	HCMLLP6N7	450.00	450.00	47.50	25.00	0.63	hybrid	30.00	26.00	Hc	+	Hc
	¢.	LP	HCMLLP7N7	475.00	460.00	45.00	22.50	-0.04	Hc	30.00	26.00	Hc	+	Hc
HCMLCH3NI	10	CH	HCMLCH3N1	455.00	460.00	50.00	23.75	0.77	Нр	30.00	27.00	NP	+	Hc
		СН	HCMLCH5N3	470.00	470.00	45.00	22.50	-0.04	Hc	30.00	27.00	NP	+	Hc
		CH	HCMLCH11N1	470.00	470.00	47.50	26.25	0.85	Hp	30.00	26.00	Hc	+	Hc
		СН	HCMLCH17N2	445.00	435.00	46.25	25.00	0.45	Hc	30.00	27.00	NP	+	Hc
		CH	HCMLCH18N7	455.00	455.00	47.50	26.25	0.83	Hp	30.00	26.00	Hc	+	Hc
		SV	HCMLSV3N1	450.00	450.00	50.00	27.50	1.33	ďН	30.00	26.00	Hc	+	Hc
		SV	HCMLSV5N2	420.00	425.00	46.25	22.50	0.05	Hc	30.00	26.00	Hc	+	Hc
		SV	HCMLSV11N1	430.00	435.00	45.00	25.00	0.28	Hc	30.00	26.00	Hc	+	Hc
HCMLSV3N1	0	SV	HCMLSV18N1	450.00	450.00	50.00	25.00	0.95	Hp	30.00	27.00	NP	+	Hc
		CH	HCMLCH12N1	480.00	475.00	45.00	20.00	-0.41	Hc	30.00	26.00	Hc	+	Hc
		CH	HCMLCH19N1	445.00	445.00	45.00	27.50	0.68	Hp	30.00	26.00	Hc	+	Hc
HCML CHIMI	ç	CH	HCMLCHINI	450.00	450.00	43.75	20.00	-0.61	Hc	30.00	26.00	Hc	+	Hc
UCIVILICATINT	7	CH	HCMLCH9N1	450.00	450.00	47.50	25.00	0.63	hybrid	30.00	26.00	Hc	+	Hc
HCMLI BI3ND	ç	LP	HCMLLP13N3	455.00	460.00	47.50	22.50	0.26	Hc	30.00	26.00	Hc	+	Hc
HUMILLFISINS	7	SV	HCMLSV1N2	440.00	445.00	47.50	27.50	1.00	Нp	30.00	26.00	Hc	+	Hc
HCMLLP5N1	1	LP	HCMLLP5N1	450.00	455.00	47.50	27.50	1.01	Hp	30.00	26.00	Hc	+	Hc
HCMLLP18N1	1	LP	HCMLLP18N1	445.00	440.00	45.00	25.00	0.30	Hc	30.00	26.00	Hc	+	Hc
HCMLLP19N1	1	LP	HCMLLP19N1	455.00	450.00	47.50	26.25	0.82	Hp	30.00	27.00	NP	+	Hc
HCMLSV4N1	1	SV	HCMLSV4N1	445.00	440.00	47.50	27.50	1.00	Hp	30.00	26.00	Hc	+	Hc
HCMLSV18N2	1	SV	HCMLSV18N2	440.00	440.00	47.50	25.00	0.61	Hc	29.00	26.00	NP	+	Hc
HCMLSV15N3	1	SV	HCMLSV15N3	400.00	400.00	40.00	17.50	-1.55	Hc	30.00	26.00	Hc	+	Hc

Table 3. Species identification and genotypes of some adult male Haemonchus spp. in goats from Lao PDR based on morphological and molecular techniques

Abbreviations: RSL, right spicule length; LSL, left spicule length; THr, tip-to-hook of right spicule; THI, tip-to-hook of left spicule; DF, discriminant function; EI, esophageal-intestinal junction; 4 mm, region at 4 mm from the anterior end; PCR, Polymerase chain reaction; LP, Luangpabang; SV, Savannakhet; CH, Champasak.

mple code	-	7	3	4	S	9	٢	æ	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
21N1SN1W	.																					
MTCN3N2	99.5	Т																				
MTCN5N2	99.5	99.5	ı																			
MTCN15N1	98.7	98.2	98.2	I																		
MTCR2N1	99.1	98.7	98.7	98.2	ı																	
MTNS2N2	99.1	99.5	99.1	97.8	99.1	ı																
MLCH3N1	99.5	100	99.5	98.2	98.7	99.5	т															
MLSV3N1	100	99.5	99.5	98.7	99.1	99.1	99.5	Т														
MLCHINI	99.5	99.5	100	98.2	98.7	99.1	99.5	99.5	i													
MLLP13N3	99.1	99.5	99.1	97.8	99.1	100	99.5	99.1	99.1	ı												
MLLP5N1	99.5	99.1	99.1	98.7	98.7	98.7	99.1	99.5	99.1	98.7	I											
MLLP18N1	98.7	99.1	98.7	97.4	98.7	99.5	99.1	98.7	98.7	99.5	98.2	ī										
IN614TTW	99.5	99.1	99.1	99.1	99.1	98.7	99.1	99.5	99.1	98.7	99.1	98.2	I									
MLSV4N1	99.5	99.1	99.1	98.2	98.7	98.7	99.1	99.5	99.1	98.7	99.1	98.2	99.1	ī								
MLSV18N2	99.1	98.7	98.7	98.7	98.2	98.2	98.7	99.1	98.7	98.2	98.7	97.8	98.7	99.5	ī							
MLSV15N3	98.7	98.2	98.2	98.7	99.5	98.7	98.2	98.7	98.2	98.7	98.2	98.2	98.7	98.2	98.7	ī						
8803	98.7	99.1	98.7	98.2	98.2	98.7	99.1	98.7	98.7	98.7	98.2	98.2	99.1	98.2	97.8	97.8	I					
1084691	99.5	100	99.5	98.2	98.7	99.5	100	99.5	99.5	99.5	99.1	99.1	99.1	99.1	98.7	98.2	99.1	ı				
101363	100	99.5	99.5	98.7	99.1	99.1	99.5	100	99.5	99.1	99.5	98.7	99.5	99.5	99.1	98.7	98.7	99.5	ı			
8812	97.4	97.8	97.4	96.9	96.9	97.4	97.8	97.4	97.4	97.4	96.9	96.9	97.8	96.9	96.5	96.5	98.7	97.8	97.4	ı		
364623	96.9	97.4	96.9	96.5	96.5	96.9	97.4	96.9	96.9	96.9	96.5	96.5	97.4	96.5	96.1	96.1	98.2	97.4	96.9	99.5		
H481601	96.9	97.4	96.9	96.5	96.5	96.9	97.4	96.9	96.9	96.9	96.5	96.5	97.4	96.5	96.1	96.1	98.2	97.4	96.9	99.5	100	
6, H. contor	"tus fre	om Th	ailand	: 7-16.	Н. со	ntortu	s from	I ao P	DR-1	7_10	H con	tortus	refere		0.00	ם הומ	104 100					
	MTNSIN17 MTCN3N2 MTCN3N2 MTCN15N1 MTCR2N1 MTCR2N1 MTCR2N1 MLLP13N1 MLLP13N1 MLLP13N1 MLLP13N1 MLLP13N1 MLLP13N1 MLLP13N1 MLLP13N1 MLLP13N1 MLLP13N1 MLSV15N3 8803 8803 101363 8812 364623 4481601 -6, <i>H. contot</i>	MTNSIN17 - MTCN3N2 99.5 MTCN5N2 99.5 MTCR2N1 98.7 MTCR2N1 99.1 MTCR3N1 99.1 MTCR3N1 99.1 MTCR3N1 99.5 MTLP3N1 99.5 MLLP13N1 99.5 MLLP13N3 99.1 MLLP13N3 99.1 MLLP13N3 99.1 MLLP13N3 99.5 MLLP13N1 99.5 MLLV13N3 99.5 MLLV13N3 99.5 MLSV15N3 98.7 MLSV15N3 98.7 MLSV15N3 98.7 004691 99.5 101363 97.4 364623 96.9 481601 96.9	MTNSIN17 - MTCN3N2 99.5 9.5 MTCN5N2 99.5 9.5 MTCN15N1 98.7 98.7 MTCR2N1 99.1 98.7 MTCR2N1 99.1 98.7 MTCR2N1 99.1 99.5 MTCR2N1 99.1 99.5 MTLP13N1 99.5 90.1 MLLP13N3 99.1 99.5 MLLP13N3 99.1 99.1 MLLP13N3 99.1 99.1 MLLP13N3 99.2 99.1 MLLP13N3 99.7 99.1 MLLV13N3 99.7 99.1 MLSV15N3 99.7 97.4 MLSV15N3 96.9 97.4	MTNS1N17 - MTCN3N2 99.5 - MTCN3N2 99.5 - MTCN1SN1 98.7 98.2 98.7 MTCR2N1 99.1 98.7 98.7 MTCR2N1 99.1 98.7 98.7 MTCR2N1 99.1 98.7 98.7 MTCR2N1 99.1 99.5 100 MTLP13N1 99.5 90.5 90.1 MLLP13N3 99.1 99.5 90.1 MLLP13N3 99.1 99.5 90.1 MLLP13N3 99.1 99.1 99.1 MLLP13N3 99.1 99.7 90.1 MLLP13N1 98.7 99.1 99.1 MLLP13N1 99.5 90.1 99.1 MLLP13N1 99.5 90.1 99.1 MLLN1 99.5 99.1 99.1 MLLSV18N2 99.1 98.7 98.7 MLSV18N2 99.1 98.7 98.7 MLSV18N2 99.1 99.1 99.1 MLSV18N2 99.7 98.7	MTNSIN17 - MTCN3N2 99.5 - MTCN3N2 99.5 99.5 - MTCN15N1 98.7 98.2 - MTCRN15N1 99.1 98.7 98.2 - MTCR2N1 99.1 98.7 98.7 98.2 - MTCR2N1 99.1 99.5 100 99.5 98.7 98.7 MTLP13N1 99.5 99.1 99.5 99.1 97.8 98.7 MLLP13N3 99.1 99.5 99.1 99.1 98.7 98.7 MLLP13N3 99.1 99.1 99.1 99.1 98.7 98.7 MLLP13N3 99.1 99.1 99.1 98.7 98.7 98.7 MLLP13N1 99.5 99.1 99.1 98.7 98.7 98.7 MLLP13N1 99.5 99.1 99.1 99.1 98.7 98.7 MLLP13N1 99.5 99.1 99.1 98.7 98.7 98.7 MLLP13N1 99.5 99.1 99.1 99.1 98.7	MTNSIN17 - MTCN3N2 99.5 - MTCN3N2 99.5 - MTCN1SN1 98.7 98.2 - MTCN1SN1 98.7 98.2 98.2 - MTCN1SN1 99.1 98.7 98.2 98.2 - MTCN1SN1 99.1 99.5 90.1 97.8 99.1 MTCR1N1 99.5 90.1 97.8 99.1 MTLP13N1 99.5 90.1 97.8 99.1 MLLP13N3 99.1 99.5 99.1 97.4 98.7 MLLP13N3 99.1 99.5 99.1 98.7 98.7 98.7 MLLP13N3 99.1 99.1 98.7 99.1 98.7 98.7 MLLP13N1 99.5 99.1 99.1 98.7 98.7 98.7 MLLP13N1 99.5 99.1 98.7 98.7 98.7 MLLP13N1 99.5 99.1 98.7 98.7 98.7 MLLP13N1 99.5 99.1 98.7 98.7 98.7 <tr< th=""><th>MTNSIN17-MTCN3N299.5-MTCN3N299.5-MTCNSN299.598.2MTCNISN198.798.2MTCR2N199.198.7MTCR2N199.198.7MTCR2N199.198.7MTCR2N199.198.7MTCR2N199.599.1MTCR2N199.599.1MTCR2N199.599.1MTCR2N199.599.2MTSV3N199.599.1MLLP13N399.199.7MLLP13N399.199.7MLLP13N399.199.1MLLP13N399.199.1MLLP13N399.199.1MLLP13N399.199.1MLLP13N399.199.1MLLP13N399.199.1MLLP13N399.199.1MLLP13N399.199.1MLLP13N399.199.1MLLP13N399.199.1MLLP13N199.599.198.799.199.198.798.798.7MLSV1SN398.798.798.799.199.198.798.798.798.799.199.198.799.199.198.798.798.798.798.798.798.798.798.798.899.199.198.798.798.798.899.199.198.798.798.7<!--</th--><th>MTUSINI7-MTCN3N299.5-MTCN3N299.59.5MTCN3N299.599.5MTCN1SN198.798.2MTCN1SN198.798.2MTCN1SN199.199.199.199.599.1MTCR2N199.599.199.199.599.1MTCR3N199.599.199.599.599.1MTCR1N199.599.199.599.199.199.699.599.1MLLP13N399.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.199.699.199.199.799.199.199.899.199.199.199.599.199.298.798.798.798.798.798.798.798.798.798.798.799.199.199.599.199.199.699.599.199.799.199.199.899.298.799.999.999.199.894.496.9</th><th>MTNSIN17-MTCN3N299.5-MTCN3N299.5-MTCN3N198.798.2-MTCN3N198.798.2-MTCN3N199.1-MTCR2N199.199.1-MTCR3N199.599.199.1MTCR3N299.199.599.1MTCR3N199.599.199.1MTCR3N299.199.599.1MTRNSN299.199.599.1MTLP13N199.599.199.199.199.599.199.1MLLP13N399.199.199.199.199.599.199.1MLLP13N399.199.199.199.199.599.199.1MLLP13N399.199.199.199.199.199.199.1MLLP13N399.199.298.798.798.799.398.798.798.7<th>MITNSINI7MITCN3N299.5MITCN3N299.5MITCN3N299.5SMITCN3N198.798.2SMITCN3N198.798.2SMITCN3N199.199.199.599.1SMITCN3N299.199.199.599.1SMITCN3N199.599.199.199.599.1SMITCN3N299.199.5SMITCN3N199.599.199.599.199.1SMILCH3N199.599.199.599.199.1SMLCH1N199.599.199.199.199.1SMLCH1N199.599.199.299.1<t< th=""><th>MTNSIN17.MTTNSIN179.5MTTCN3N29.5MTTCN3N29.59.59.5MTTCN15N198.798.798.2MTTCR2N199.199.199.199.199.599.199.7</th><th>MTNSIN1MTCN3N299.5MTCN3N299.5MTCN3N299.5MTCN5N198.599.599.5MTCN1SN198.799.598.299.199.199.199.5MTCN2N299.199.599.199.599.199.699.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.7</th></t<></th></th></th></tr<> <th> MTNSINIT MITCNSIN2 99.5 99.5 99.5 99.5 99.5 99.5 99.1 MITCR2N1 99.1 99.5 99.1 99.1 99.5 99.1 99.5 99.1 99.1 99.5 99.1 99.5 99.1 99.5 99.1 99.5 99.1 99.1 99.1 99.1 99.2 99.1 99.1 99.2 99.1 99.1 99.1 99.2 99.1 99.2 99.1 99.2 99.1 99.1 99.2 99.2 99.2 99.1 99.2 99.2 99.1 99.1 99.2<th>MTNSIN17</th><th>MITNSINITMITUSINIT99.599.599.599.599.599.599.599.599.599.599.599.599.599.1</th><th>MTNSINIT - MITCNSN2 995 - MITCNSN2 995 982 - MITCNSN1 987 982 982 - MITCNISN1 991 987 982 - MITCN11 995 991 978 991 991 991 991 991 991 987 - MITNS2N2 991 995 991 993 991 993 991 987 95 MITCHN1 995 991 991 993 991 993 991 987 95 MITCHN1 995 991 991 993 991 991 991 987 - MITCN11 995 991 991 993 991 991 991 987 - MITSN11 995 991 991 987 991 993 991 987 991 987 95 MITCN11 995 991 991 987 991 993 991 987 991 991 991 991 991 991 991 991 991 99</th><th>MTNSINIT</th><th>MTNSINIT-MTCNSN2995-MTCNSN2995995965995-MTCNSN1987982987982982987987982991991995995995991995995991995995991995991995MUCH3N190199599599199599199599199599199599199599199599199599199599199599199599199599199599199599199599199299199599199599199599199299199599199599199599199599199599199299199599199599199299199599199599199599199599199599199299199599199599199599199599199599199599199599199599199599199599199599199599599199599</th><th>MTNSINITMTCNSN12995905995MTCNSN2995905995MTCNSN1987987982987982991991991995992995991995992995991995992995991995992995991995991995992991993991993991994991995991991992991993991994991995992991993991995991995991995991995991995991995991995991995991995991995992995993995991995<td< th=""><th>MTNSINITMTCNSIN2995MTCNSIN2995MTCNSIN2995995995MTCNSIN1887995995MTCRSIN1991MTCRSIN1991991991MTCRSIN1995991993992991993993995991995992995991<!--</th--><th>MINININIMINININI99MICNUNI99991995MICNUNI9959929959919919919959919959919959919959919959929959939959919959929959939959949959959959919959919959929919939919949919959919919939919919919919919919919919919919919919919919919919919919929919939919949919959919919929919939919939919919929919939919939919949919959919919929919939919939919939939919939919939919939919939929949939959939959939959939959</th><th>MTNSINIT MTNSINIT Matrixed by 3 Matrixed by 3</th></th></td<></th></th>	MTNSIN17-MTCN3N299.5-MTCN3N299.5-MTCNSN299.598.2MTCNISN198.798.2MTCR2N199.198.7MTCR2N199.198.7MTCR2N199.198.7MTCR2N199.198.7MTCR2N199.599.1MTCR2N199.599.1MTCR2N199.599.1MTCR2N199.599.2MTSV3N199.599.1MLLP13N399.199.7MLLP13N399.199.7MLLP13N399.199.1MLLP13N399.199.1MLLP13N399.199.1MLLP13N399.199.1MLLP13N399.199.1MLLP13N399.199.1MLLP13N399.199.1MLLP13N399.199.1MLLP13N399.199.1MLLP13N399.199.1MLLP13N199.599.198.799.199.198.798.798.7MLSV1SN398.798.798.799.199.198.798.798.798.799.199.198.799.199.198.798.798.798.798.798.798.798.798.798.899.199.198.798.798.798.899.199.198.798.798.7 </th <th>MTUSINI7-MTCN3N299.5-MTCN3N299.59.5MTCN3N299.599.5MTCN1SN198.798.2MTCN1SN198.798.2MTCN1SN199.199.199.199.599.1MTCR2N199.599.199.199.599.1MTCR3N199.599.199.599.599.1MTCR1N199.599.199.599.199.199.699.599.1MLLP13N399.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.199.699.199.199.799.199.199.899.199.199.199.599.199.298.798.798.798.798.798.798.798.798.798.798.799.199.199.599.199.199.699.599.199.799.199.199.899.298.799.999.999.199.894.496.9</th> <th>MTNSIN17-MTCN3N299.5-MTCN3N299.5-MTCN3N198.798.2-MTCN3N198.798.2-MTCN3N199.1-MTCR2N199.199.1-MTCR3N199.599.199.1MTCR3N299.199.599.1MTCR3N199.599.199.1MTCR3N299.199.599.1MTRNSN299.199.599.1MTLP13N199.599.199.199.199.599.199.1MLLP13N399.199.199.199.199.599.199.1MLLP13N399.199.199.199.199.599.199.1MLLP13N399.199.199.199.199.199.199.1MLLP13N399.199.298.798.798.799.398.798.798.7<th>MITNSINI7MITCN3N299.5MITCN3N299.5MITCN3N299.5SMITCN3N198.798.2SMITCN3N198.798.2SMITCN3N199.199.199.599.1SMITCN3N299.199.199.599.1SMITCN3N199.599.199.199.599.1SMITCN3N299.199.5SMITCN3N199.599.199.599.199.1SMILCH3N199.599.199.599.199.1SMLCH1N199.599.199.199.199.1SMLCH1N199.599.199.299.1<t< th=""><th>MTNSIN17.MTTNSIN179.5MTTCN3N29.5MTTCN3N29.59.59.5MTTCN15N198.798.798.2MTTCR2N199.199.199.199.199.599.199.7</th><th>MTNSIN1MTCN3N299.5MTCN3N299.5MTCN3N299.5MTCN5N198.599.599.5MTCN1SN198.799.598.299.199.199.199.5MTCN2N299.199.599.199.599.199.699.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.7</th></t<></th></th>	MTUSINI7-MTCN3N299.5-MTCN3N299.59.5MTCN3N299.599.5MTCN1SN198.798.2MTCN1SN198.798.2MTCN1SN199.199.199.199.599.1MTCR2N199.599.199.199.599.1MTCR3N199.599.199.599.599.1MTCR1N199.599.199.599.199.199.699.599.1MLLP13N399.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.199.699.199.199.799.199.199.899.199.199.199.599.199.298.798.798.798.798.798.798.798.798.798.798.799.199.199.599.199.199.699.599.199.799.199.199.899.298.799.999.999.199.894.496.9	MTNSIN17-MTCN3N299.5-MTCN3N299.5-MTCN3N198.798.2-MTCN3N198.798.2-MTCN3N199.1-MTCR2N199.199.1-MTCR3N199.599.199.1MTCR3N299.199.599.1MTCR3N199.599.199.1MTCR3N299.199.599.1MTRNSN299.199.599.1MTLP13N199.599.199.199.199.599.199.1MLLP13N399.199.199.199.199.599.199.1MLLP13N399.199.199.199.199.599.199.1MLLP13N399.199.199.199.199.199.199.1MLLP13N399.199.298.798.798.799.398.798.798.7 <th>MITNSINI7MITCN3N299.5MITCN3N299.5MITCN3N299.5SMITCN3N198.798.2SMITCN3N198.798.2SMITCN3N199.199.199.599.1SMITCN3N299.199.199.599.1SMITCN3N199.599.199.199.599.1SMITCN3N299.199.5SMITCN3N199.599.199.599.199.1SMILCH3N199.599.199.599.199.1SMLCH1N199.599.199.199.199.1SMLCH1N199.599.199.299.1<t< th=""><th>MTNSIN17.MTTNSIN179.5MTTCN3N29.5MTTCN3N29.59.59.5MTTCN15N198.798.798.2MTTCR2N199.199.199.199.199.599.199.7</th><th>MTNSIN1MTCN3N299.5MTCN3N299.5MTCN3N299.5MTCN5N198.599.599.5MTCN1SN198.799.598.299.199.199.199.5MTCN2N299.199.599.199.599.199.699.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.7</th></t<></th>	MITNSINI7MITCN3N299.5MITCN3N299.5MITCN3N299.5SMITCN3N198.798.2SMITCN3N198.798.2SMITCN3N199.199.199.599.1SMITCN3N299.199.199.599.1SMITCN3N199.599.199.199.599.1SMITCN3N299.199.5SMITCN3N199.599.199.599.199.1SMILCH3N199.599.199.599.199.1SMLCH1N199.599.199.199.199.1SMLCH1N199.599.199.299.1 <t< th=""><th>MTNSIN17.MTTNSIN179.5MTTCN3N29.5MTTCN3N29.59.59.5MTTCN15N198.798.798.2MTTCR2N199.199.199.199.199.599.199.7</th><th>MTNSIN1MTCN3N299.5MTCN3N299.5MTCN3N299.5MTCN5N198.599.599.5MTCN1SN198.799.598.299.199.199.199.5MTCN2N299.199.599.199.599.199.699.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.7</th></t<>	MTNSIN17.MTTNSIN179.5MTTCN3N29.5MTTCN3N29.59.59.5MTTCN15N198.798.798.2MTTCR2N199.199.199.199.199.599.199.7	MTNSIN1MTCN3N299.5MTCN3N299.5MTCN3N299.5MTCN5N198.599.599.5MTCN1SN198.799.598.299.199.199.199.5MTCN2N299.199.599.199.599.199.699.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.599.199.7	 MTNSINIT MITCNSIN2 99.5 99.5 99.5 99.5 99.5 99.5 99.1 MITCR2N1 99.1 99.5 99.1 99.1 99.5 99.1 99.5 99.1 99.1 99.5 99.1 99.5 99.1 99.5 99.1 99.5 99.1 99.1 99.1 99.1 99.2 99.1 99.1 99.2 99.1 99.1 99.1 99.2 99.1 99.2 99.1 99.2 99.1 99.1 99.2 99.2 99.2 99.1 99.2 99.2 99.1 99.1 99.2<th>MTNSIN17</th><th>MITNSINITMITUSINIT99.599.599.599.599.599.599.599.599.599.599.599.599.599.1</th><th>MTNSINIT - MITCNSN2 995 - MITCNSN2 995 982 - MITCNSN1 987 982 982 - MITCNISN1 991 987 982 - MITCN11 995 991 978 991 991 991 991 991 991 987 - MITNS2N2 991 995 991 993 991 993 991 987 95 MITCHN1 995 991 991 993 991 993 991 987 95 MITCHN1 995 991 991 993 991 991 991 987 - MITCN11 995 991 991 993 991 991 991 987 - MITSN11 995 991 991 987 991 993 991 987 991 987 95 MITCN11 995 991 991 987 991 993 991 987 991 991 991 991 991 991 991 991 991 99</th><th>MTNSINIT</th><th>MTNSINIT-MTCNSN2995-MTCNSN2995995965995-MTCNSN1987982987982982987987982991991995995995991995995991995995991995991995MUCH3N190199599599199599199599199599199599199599199599199599199599199599199599199599199599199599199599199299199599199599199599199299199599199599199599199599199599199299199599199599199299199599199599199599199599199599199299199599199599199599199599199599199599199599199599199599199599199599199599599199599</th><th>MTNSINITMTCNSN12995905995MTCNSN2995905995MTCNSN1987987982987982991991991995992995991995992995991995992995991995992995991995991995992991993991993991994991995991991992991993991994991995992991993991995991995991995991995991995991995991995991995991995991995992995993995991995<td< th=""><th>MTNSINITMTCNSIN2995MTCNSIN2995MTCNSIN2995995995MTCNSIN1887995995MTCRSIN1991MTCRSIN1991991991MTCRSIN1995991993992991993993995991995992995991<!--</th--><th>MINININIMINININI99MICNUNI99991995MICNUNI9959929959919919919959919959919959919959919959929959939959919959929959939959949959959959919959919959929919939919949919959919919939919919919919919919919919919919919919919919919919919919929919939919949919959919919929919939919939919919929919939919939919949919959919919929919939919939919939939919939919939919939919939929949939959939959939959939959</th><th>MTNSINIT MTNSINIT Matrixed by 3 Matrixed by 3</th></th></td<></th>	MTNSIN17	MITNSINITMITUSINIT99.599.599.599.599.599.599.599.599.599.599.599.599.599.1	MTNSINIT - MITCNSN2 995 - MITCNSN2 995 982 - MITCNSN1 987 982 982 - MITCNISN1 991 987 982 - MITCN11 995 991 978 991 991 991 991 991 991 987 - MITNS2N2 991 995 991 993 991 993 991 987 95 MITCHN1 995 991 991 993 991 993 991 987 95 MITCHN1 995 991 991 993 991 991 991 987 - MITCN11 995 991 991 993 991 991 991 987 - MITSN11 995 991 991 987 991 993 991 987 991 987 95 MITCN11 995 991 991 987 991 993 991 987 991 991 991 991 991 991 991 991 991 99	MTNSINIT	MTNSINIT-MTCNSN2995-MTCNSN2995995965995-MTCNSN1987982987982982987987982991991995995995991995995991995995991995991995MUCH3N190199599599199599199599199599199599199599199599199599199599199599199599199599199599199599199599199299199599199599199599199299199599199599199599199599199599199299199599199599199299199599199599199599199599199599199299199599199599199599199599199599199599199599199599199599199599199599199599599199599	MTNSINITMTCNSN12995905995MTCNSN2995905995MTCNSN1987987982987982991991991995992995991995992995991995992995991995992995991995991995992991993991993991994991995991991992991993991994991995992991993991995991995991995991995991995991995991995991995991995991995992995993995991995 <td< th=""><th>MTNSINITMTCNSIN2995MTCNSIN2995MTCNSIN2995995995MTCNSIN1887995995MTCRSIN1991MTCRSIN1991991991MTCRSIN1995991993992991993993995991995992995991<!--</th--><th>MINININIMINININI99MICNUNI99991995MICNUNI9959929959919919919959919959919959919959919959929959939959919959929959939959949959959959919959919959929919939919949919959919919939919919919919919919919919919919919919919919919919919919929919939919949919959919919929919939919939919919929919939919939919949919959919919929919939919939919939939919939919939919939919939929949939959939959939959939959</th><th>MTNSINIT MTNSINIT Matrixed by 3 Matrixed by 3</th></th></td<>	MTNSINITMTCNSIN2995MTCNSIN2995MTCNSIN2995995995MTCNSIN1887995995MTCRSIN1991MTCRSIN1991991991MTCRSIN1995991993992991993993995991995992995991 </th <th>MINININIMINININI99MICNUNI99991995MICNUNI9959929959919919919959919959919959919959919959929959939959919959929959939959949959959959919959919959929919939919949919959919919939919919919919919919919919919919919919919919919919919919929919939919949919959919919929919939919939919919929919939919939919949919959919919929919939919939919939939919939919939919939919939929949939959939959939959939959</th> <th>MTNSINIT MTNSINIT Matrixed by 3 Matrixed by 3</th>	MINININIMINININI99MICNUNI99991995MICNUNI9959929959919919919959919959919959919959919959929959939959919959929959939959949959959959919959919959929919939919949919959919919939919919919919919919919919919919919919919919919919919919929919939919949919959919919929919939919939919919929919939919939919949919959919919929919939919939919939939919939919939919939919939929949939959939959939959939959	MTNSINIT MTNSINIT Matrixed by 3 Matrixed by 3

Table 4. Pairwise identities (%) between six Thai genotypes and ten Laos genotypes of adult male *H. contortus* in goats compared with sequence

Table 5. Nucleotide polymorphisms and distribution of six Thai genotypes and ten Laos genotypes of *H. contortus* in goats compared with sequence references of *H. contortus* and *H. placei*

No. Genotypes 4 10 1 X78803 C C 2 KP101363 · · 3 HCMTNS1N17 (MT682914) · · 4 HCMTCN3N2 (MT682915) · · 5 HCMTCN5N2 (MT682915) · · 6 HCMTCN5N2 (MT682915) · · 7 HCMTCN5N2 (MT682915) · · 7 HCMTCN5N2 (MT682915) · · 8 HCMTCN5N1 (MT682919) · · 9 HCMTCR2N1 (MT682959) · · 10 HCMLLP3N1 (MT682959) · · 12 HCMLLP5N1 (MT682960) · · 13 HCMLLP13N3 (MT682963) · · 14 HCMLLV18N1 (MT682963) · · 15 HCMLLSV4N1 (MT682963) · · 16 HCMLLSV18N2 (MT682965) · · 17 HCMLLSV18N2 (MT682965) · ·	· · · · · C E												~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~		CM11011				
1 X78803 C C 2 KP101363 · · 3 HCMTNS1N17 (MT682914) · · 4 HCMTCN3N2 (MT682915) · · 5 HCMTCN5N2 (MT682915) · · 6 HCMTCN5N2 (MT682916) · · 7 HCMTCN5N2 (MT682915) · · 8 HCMTCR2N1 (MT682917) · · 9 HCMTCR2N1 (MT682919) · · 9 HCMLCH3N1 (MT682959) · · 10 HCMLLP13N3 (MT682959) · · 11 HCMLLP13N3 (MT682960) · · 12 HCMLLP13N3 (MT682963) · · 13 HCMLLP13N1 (MT682963) · · 15 HCMLLV18N1 (MT682965) A · 15 HCMLLSV4N1 (MT682963) · · 16 HCMLLSV18N2 (MT6829663) · · 17 HCMLLSV18N2 (MT6829663) · · 18 HCMLLSV18N2 (MT6829663) · ·	υ	0 18	21	22	24	: 55	; 65	123	196	205	219	CR	CN	NS	Total	LP	SV	CH	Total
 2 KP101363 3 HCMTNS1N17 (MT682914) 4 HCMTCN3N2 (MT682915) 5 HCMTCN3N2 (MT682916) 6 HCMTCN15N1 (MT682916) 7 HCMTCR2N1 (MT682918) 8 HCMTNS2N2 (MT682919) 9 HCMTNS2N2 (MT682919) 10 HCMLCH3N1 (MT682957) 11 HCMLCH1N1 (MT682957) 12 HCMLCH1N1 (MT6829560) 13 HCMLLP13N3 (MT682961) 14 HCMLLP13N3 (MT682961) 15 HCMLLP18N1 (MT682963) 16 HCMLSV18N1 (MT682963) 17 HCMLLP18N1 (MT682964) 18 HCMLSV18N2 (MT682965) 17 HCMLSV18N2 (MT682965) 18 HCMLSV18N2 (MT682966) 17 HCMLSV18N2 (MT682965) 17 HCMLSV18N2 (MT682965) 17 HCMLSV18N2 (MT682965) 17 HCMLSV18N2 (MT682965) 17 HCMLSV18N2 (MT682966) 17 HCMLSV18N2 (MT682965) 17 HCMLSV18N2 (MT682965) 17 HCMLSV18N2 (MT682965) 17 HCMLSV18N2 (MT682966) 18 HCMLSV18N3 (MT682966) 10 HCMLSV18N3 (MT682966) 		Г	C	Г	A	C	A	C	A	G	A	1	ı	ı	.	1		1	I
 3 HCMTNSINI7 (MT682914) 4 HCMTCN3N2 (MT682915) 5 HCMTCN5N2 (MT682916) 6 HCMTCN5N2 (MT682916) 7 HCMTCN15N1 (MT682917) 7 HCMTCR2N1 (MT682919) 8 HCMTNS2N2 (MT682919) 9 HCMLCH3N1 (MT682951) 10 HCMLCN3N1 (MT682959) 11 HCMLCH1N1 (MT682959) 12 HCMLLP13N3 (MT682959) 13 HCMLLP13N3 (MT682961) 14 HCMLLP13N1 (MT682963) 15 HCMLLP18N1 (MT682963) 16 HCMLSV4N1 (MT682963) 17 HCMLSV18N2 (MT682964) 17 HCMLSV18N2 (MT682965) 18 HCMLSV18N2 (MT682966) 17 HCMLSV18N2 (MT682966) 18 HCMLSV18N3 (MT682966) 			IJ					Τ	Τ			ı	ı	I	I	I	ı	ı	I
4 HCMTCN3N2 (MT682915) · 5 HCMTCN5N2 (MT682916) · 6 HCMTCN5N2 (MT682917) · 7 HCMTCR2N1 (MT682913) · 8 HCMTNS2N2 (MT682919) · 9 HCMTNS2N2 (MT682957) · 10 HCMLCH3N1 (MT682958) · · 11 HCMLCH1N1 (MT682959) · · 12 HCMLLP13N3 (MT682960) · A 13 HCMLLP13N3 (MT682960) · · 14 HCMLLP18N1 (MT682961) · · 15 HCMLLP18N1 (MT682963) · · 15 HCMLLSV4N1 (MT682965) A A 16 HCMLSV4N1 (MT682965) · · 17 HCMLLSV18N2 (MT682965) · · 18 HCMLSV18N3 (MT6829664) · ·			IJ					Τ	F			4	2	4	10	I	ı	I	ı
 5 HCMTCN5N2 (MT682916) 6 HCMTCN15N1 (MT682917) 7 HCMTCR2N1 (MT682918) 8 HCMTNS2N2 (MT682919) 9 HCMLCH3N1 (MT682957) 10 HCMLSV3N1 (MT682958) 11 HCMLCH1N1 (MT682959) 12 HCMLLP13N3 (MT682959) 13 HCMLLP13N3 (MT682960) 14 HCMLLP13N3 (MT682961) 15 HCMLLP18N1 (MT682961) 16 HCMLSV4N1 (MT682963) 17 HCMLSV18N2 (MT682963) 17 HCMLSV18N2 (MT682965) 18 HCMLSV18N2 (MT682966) 17 HCMLSV18N2 (MT682965) 18 HCMLSV18N2 (MT682966) 17 HCMLSV18N2 (MT682965) 17 HCMLSV18N2 (MT682966) 18 HCMLSV15N3 (MT682966) 10 HCMLSV15N3 (MT682966) 	• •		•				•	Τ	Г			2	Э	ю	8	I	ı	I	ı
 6 HCMTCN15N1 (MT682917) 7 HCMTCR2N1 (MT682918) 8 HCMTNS2N2 (MT682919) 9 HCMLCH3N1 (MT682957) 10 HCMLSV3N1 (MT682958) 11 HCMLCH1N1 (MT682959) 12 HCMLLP13N3 (MT682960) 13 HCMLLP13N3 (MT682961) 14 HCMLLP18N1 (MT682961) 15 HCMLLP18N1 (MT682961) 16 HCMLSV18N1 (MT682963) 17 HCMLSV18N2 (MT682965) 17 HCMLSV18N2 (MT682965) 18 HCMLSV18N2 (MT682966) 10 HCMLSV18N2 (MT682965) 11 HCMLSV18N2 (MT682965) 11 HCMLSV18N2 (MT682965) 12 HCMLSV18N2 (MT682965) 13 HCMLSV18N2 (MT682965) 14 HCMLSV18N2 (MT682965) 15 HCMLSV18N2 (MT682965) 17 HCMLSV18N2 (MT682965) 18 HCMLSV18N3 (MT682966) 10 HCMLSV15N3 (MT682966) 	•		S		•		•	Τ	Γ			0	2	-	З	I	ı	I	ı
7 HCMTCR2N1 (MT682918) A 8 HCMTNS2N2 (MT682919) A 9 HCMLCH3N1 (MT682957) - 10 HCMLSV3N1 (MT682958) - 111 HCMLLP13N3 (MT682959) - 122 HCMLLP13N3 (MT682960) - 13 HCMLLP13N3 (MT682960) - 14 HCMLLP18N1 (MT682961) - 15 HCMLLP18N1 (MT682963) - 16 HCMLLV18N1 (MT682963) - 17 HCMLSV4N1 (MT682963) - 18 HCMLSV18N2 (MT682966) -		Μ	IJ.	Υ	•		•	Τ				0	1	0	1	I	ı	I	ı
 8 HCMTNS2N2 (MT682919) 9 HCMLCH3N1 (MT682957) 10 HCMLSV3N1 (MT682958) 11 HCMLCH1N1 (MT682959) 12 HCMLLP13N3 (MT682960) 13 HCMLLP13N3 (MT682961) 14 HCMLLP18N1 (MT682961) 15 HCMLLP18N1 (MT682963) 16 HCMLLP19N1 (MT682963) 17 HCMLSV18N2 (MT682965) 17 HCMLSV18N2 (MT682965) 18 HCMLSV15N3 (MT682966) 10 A 	A	•	IJ	•			•	Η	W			1	0	0	1	I	ı	I	ı
 9 HCMLCH3N1 (MT682957) 10 HCMLSV3N1 (MT682958) 11 HCMLCH1N1 (MT682959) 12 HCMLLP13N3 (MT682960) 13 HCMLLP5N1 (MT682961) 14 HCMLLP18N1 (MT682961) 15 HCMLLP18N1 (MT682963) 16 HCMLSV4N1 (MT682964) 17 HCMLSV18N2 (MT682965) 18 HCMLSV15N3 (MT682966) 10 	A		•		•	•	•	Γ	Γ	•		0	0	-	1	I	I	I	ı
10 HCMLSV3N1 (MT682958) · · 11 HCMLCH1N1 (MT682959) · · · 12 HCMLLP13N3 (MT682960) · A A 13 HCMLLP78N1 (MT682961) · · · 14 HCMLLP18N1 (MT682962) A A A 15 HCMLLP19N1 (MT682963) · · · 16 HCMLLSV18N2 (MT682963) · · · 17 HCMLSV18N2 (MT682965) · · · 18 HCMLSV15N3 (MT682966) · A ·	•			•		•		Γ	Τ			I	I	ı	I	5	0	5	10
 HCMLCHINI (MT682959) HCMLLP13N3 (MT682960) HCMLLP13N3 (MT682961) HCMLLP18N1 (MT682961) HCMLLP18N1 (MT682962) HCMLLP19N1 (MT682963) HCMLSV4N1 (MT682964) HCMLSV18N2 (MT682964) HCMLSV18N2 (MT682965) HCMLSV15N3 (MT682966) A 	•	•	IJ	•				Η	Τ			I	ı	ı	I	0	4	2	9
 HCMLLP13N3 (MT682960) HCMLLP5N1 (MT682961) HCMLLP18N1 (MT682961) HCMLLP18N1 (MT682962) HCMLLP19N1 (MT682963) HCMLSV4N1 (MT682964) HCMLSV18N2 (MT682965) HCMLSV18N2 (MT682965) HCMLSV15N3 (MT682966) A 	•		\mathbf{N}	•	•	•	•	Τ	Τ			I	I	ı	I	0	0	2	7
 HCMLLP5NI (MT682961) HCMLLP18NI (MT682962) HCMLLP18NI (MT682962) HCMLLP19NI (MT682963) HCMLSV4NI (MT682964) HCMLSV18N2 (MT682965) HCMLSV15N3 (MT682966) A 	A	•		•	•	•	•	Π	Τ	•		I	ī	I	I	-	-	0	7
 HCMLLP18N1 (MT682962) A A HCMLLP19N1 (MT682963) HCMLLV4N1 (MT682964) HCMLSV18N2 (MT682965) HCMLSV15N3 (MT682966) . A 	•		IJ	C	•	•	•	Γ	Π			ı	ī	ı	I	1	0	0	1
 HCMLLP19N1 (MT682963) HCMLSV4N1 (MT682964) HCMLSV18N2 (MT682965) HCMLSV15N3 (MT682966) A 	Α		•	•	•	•	•	Τ	Г			I	ī	I	I	1	0	0	-
16 HCMLSV4N1 (MT682964) . 17 HCMLSV18N2 (MT682965) . . 18 HCMLSV15N3 (MT682966) . A		•	IJ	•	•	•	•	Τ	•			ı	ī	ı	I	1	0	0	1
17 HCMLSV18N2 (MT682965) 18 HCMLSV15N3 (MT682966) . A	•		IJ			Τ		Τ	Τ			ı	ı	I	I	0	1	0	-
18 HCMLSV15N3 (MT682966) . A	•	Μ	Ū	•	•	Τ	•	Τ	Τ		•	I	I	ı	I	0	1	0	1
	A	Μ	ŋ	•	•	•	•	Τ	Μ		•	ı	ī	ı	I	0	1	0	1
19 X78812	•	•		•	IJ	•	•		•	Α	IJ	I	ī	I	I	I	ī	Т	I
20 KF364623		•	•	•	IJ	•	μ		•	Α	IJ	I	I	ı	I	I	ī	I	I
21 MH481601	·	•	•	•	IJ	•	Η	•		Α	Ð	I	ı	I		I	ī	ı	I
Total												٢	×	6	24	6	×	6	26



Figure 5. UPGMA analysis of *H. contortus* genotypes in goats from Thailand (six genotypes) and Lao PDR (ten genotypes) based on ITS2 comparison with sequences of *H. contortus* from different hosts in several countries together with *H. placei* (Accession numbers: X78812, KF364623, MH481601) as outgroups.

Characters	Country	Ν	Mean	SD	Median	Min-Max	W	p-value
Body length	Thailand	255.00	15.51	1.20	15.50	(12.50-18.00)	27587.00	0.003*
(mm)	Lao PDR	255.00	15.24	1.32	15.00	(12.50-19.50)		
Cervical papillae	Thailand	255.00	398.71	31.01	400.00	(310-470)	32624.00	0.947
(µm)	Lao PDR	255.00	399.75	25.89	400.00	(330-465)		
Esophagus length	Thailand	255.00	1295.98	107.86	1300.00	(1025-2375)	33094.00	0.727
(µm)	Lao PDR	255.00	1305.18	98.94	1300.00	(1050-1600)		
Gubernaculum	Thailand	255.00	214.53	11.40	210.00	(190-250)	39145.00	0.000*
(µm)	Lao PDR	255.00	219.31	13.73	220.00	(190-260)		
Spicule length	Thailand	255.00	430.08	21.18	430.00	(370-490)	30799.00	0.301
(right), (µm)	Lao PDR	255.00	428.59	21.17	425.00	(375-490)		
Spicule length	Thailand	255.00	428.96	21.11	430.00	(370-485)	30884.00	0.326
(left), (µm)	Lao PDR	255.00	427.53	21.10	425.00	(380-480)		
Spicule barb	Thailand	255.00	44.22	2.74	45.00	(36.25-52.50)	29616.00	0.076
length (right), (µm)	Lao PDR	255.00	43.79	2.68	43.75	(37.50-50.00)		
Spicule barb	Thailand	255.00	22.74	1.78	22.50	(15.00-27.50)	27218.00	0.001*
length (left), (μm)	Lao PDR	255.00	22.24	2.15	22.50	(15.00-27.50)		
Synlophe: EI	Thailand	255.00	29.99	0.09	30.00	(29-30)	32513.00	0.999
	Lao PDR	255.00	29.99	0.09	30.00	(29-30)		
Synlophe: 4 mm	Thailand	255.00	26.01	0.14	26.00	(25-27)	32643.00	0.790
	Lao PDR	255.00	26.02	0.27	26.00	(25-27)		

Table 6. Morphological comparisons of adult male H. contortus in goats between Thailand and Lao PDR

Abbreviations: N, number of worms; SD, standard deviation; Min, minimum; Max, maximum; W, Wilcoxon signed-rank test; EI, esophagus intestinal junction; 4 mm, region at 4 mm from the anterior end. *Difference is statistically significant at p<0.05.

populations from Thailand and Lao PDR. The mean/median body length and left spicule barb length of this parasite from Thailand (mean=15.51 mm, median=15.50 mm; mean=22.74 μ m, respectively) were slightly longer than those observed in *H. contortus* from Lao PDR (mean=15.24 mm, median=15 mm; mean=22.24 μ m, respectively) while the gubernaculum of *H. contortus* in Thailand (mean=214.53 μ m, median=210 μ m was shorter than in *H. contortus* from Lao PDR (mean=219.31 μ m median=220 μ m) (p < 0.05) (Table 6).

DISCUSSION

Haemonchus spp. are blood sucking nematodes that cause a serious health problem for small ruminant production

worldwide, particularly H. contortus that has been recovered from many parts of the world (Achi et al., 2003; Kumsa et al., 2008; Gharamah et al., 2012; Akkari et al., 2013; Yin et al., 2013; Shen et al., 2017; Kandil et al., 2017; Dey et al., 2019). Similarly, goats in Thailand and Lao PDR are now being raised in greater numbers, especially in smallholder form. Haemonchosis in goats caused by Haemonchus spp. is a continuous threaten with the current infection rate being 47.62% in Thailand and 66.02% in Lao PDR. Previously, postmortem and coproculture examination in Thailand and Lao PDR recorded high infection, accounting for 86.67% (26/30) (Laosutthipong & Eardmusic, 2019) and 69% (Sato et al., 2014), respectively. This occurrence of Haemonchus spp. in these two tropical countries might be a consequence of suitable environmental conditions such as moisture and temperature to facilitate the complete life cycle as well as these parasites being well adapted to survive in unfavorable conditions such as drought and high temperature. Moreover, they also can develop rapidly against many types of anthelmintic drugs (Besier *et al.*, 2016; Emery *et al.*, 2016).

The discriminant function (DF) described by Jacquiet et al. (1997) and synlophe patterns described by Lichtenfels et al. (1994) were mainly applied to identify Haemonchus spp. in naturally infected goats in Thailand and Lao PDR. DF values based on the spicule and spicule barb lengths have been widely and successfully used to identify Haemonchus spp. (Achi et al., 2003; Gharamah et al., 2011; Santos et al., 2014; Vadlejch et al., 2014; Sambodo et al., 2018) since this is a rapidly and easily performed method (Jacquiet et al., 1997). Our findings also revealed that DF was able to identify a predominant species, H. contortus both in Thailand and Lao PDR, accounting for about 94%. Only small proportions of specimens in Thailand (5.88%) and Lao PDR (5.10%) were classified as *H. placei* and hybrids (Table 1). However, all *H. placei* and hybrids were confirmed using PCR as H. contortus. Similarly, Vadlejch et al. (2014) revealed that three individual male H. placei obtained from sheep identified by DF were proved as H. contortus using molecular techniques, and they suggested that the DF was not able to provide accurate identification of male Haemonchus spp. to the species level, while Santos et al. (2014) also found species misidentification of adult male Haemonchus spp. in sheep. These findings indicated that misidentification based on the DF is not uncommon, and other forms of morphological examination are required.

The synlophe patterns described by Lichtenfels *et al.* (1994) were also applied in the current research and proved to be one of the most useful diagnostic morphological characters to distinguish *Haemonchus* spp. (Lichtenfels *et al.*, 1986; Lichtenfels *et al.*, 1994; Vadlejch *et al.*, 2014). Using synlophe patterns based on the number of ridges either at the esophageal intestinal junction (EI) or 4 mm from the anterior end or from both positions of *Haemonchus* spp. in goats from Thailand and Lao PDR, about 97-99% were identified as H. contortus, showing 30 ridges and 26 ridges, respectively, while synlophe patterns in the EI region seemed to be more reliable resulting in 99% of H. contortus being identified. These results were based on Lichtenfels et al. (1994) and Silva et al. (2015) who reported the position in the EI region had 30 ridges and Rahman & Abd Humid (2007) reported that the region at 4 mm from the anterior end had 26 ridges in goats. However, 24 ridges for H. contortus at position 4 mm from the anterior end were also recorded in sheep (Rahman & Abd Humid, 2007) while Lichtenfels et al. (1994) reported 25 ridges; thus the synlophe patterns at this position of *H. contortus* varied somewhat between 24, 25, and 26 ridges. This variation in the cuticular ridge patterns of male H. contortus also occurred at similar positions in both countries; for example, in the EI region, the synlophe patterns presented 29 ridges, while variations in ridge number at 4 mm from the anterior end showed only 27 ridges in both countries. A closely related species, H. placei including H. similis showed synlophe patterns in the EI region for both these species having 34 ridges (Lichtenfels et al., 1994; Silva et al., 2015) and synlophe patterns at 4 mm from the anterior end of H. placei and H. similis presented 30 ridges (Lichtenfels et al., 1994). Therefore, our observations of varied ridge numbers for *H. contortus* at both positions were not consistent with the synlophe references regarding H. placei and H. similis.

However, all synlophe pattern variations mentioned above were properly resolved using PCR, and then identified as H. *contortus*, and we assumed that all 255 male *Haemonchus* spp. in each country were H. *contortus*. Therefore, the number of possible cuticular ridge patterns of H. *contortus* in the present study from both countries ranged from 29 to 30 ridges in the EI region and from 26 to 27 ridges at 4 mm from the anterior end, while the mean/median ridge numbers at both positions between two countries were not significantly different (p>0.05) (Table 6). These variable cuticular ridges in the current study might occur naturally depending on many factors such as hosts and the area of study, as Rahman & Abd Humid (2007) reported synlophe patterns in the region 4 mm from the anterior end in goats and sheep in Malaysia with 26 and 24 ridges, respectively.

Molecular diagnosis based on the ITS2 region is commonly used as a molecular marker for specific identification of strongylid worms because of its low intra-variation (Heise et al., 1999; Gasser & Newton, 2000). According to our genetic data analysis as well as the phylogenetic tree based on UPGMA, all proved specimens (including four and eight randomly selected H. contortus from Thailand and Lao PDR, respectively identified clearly by their DF and synlophe patterns) from Thailand (Table 2) and Lao PDR (Table 3) were classified as a mono species, H. contortus. To provide accuracy for the identification of this species, some supporting evidences demonstrated that H. contortus was differentiated from a closely relate species, *H. placei* by the different nucleotide bases at positions 24, 205 and 219. These three positions were only found in *H*. placei and never presented in H. contortus, which was in agreement with previous reports in Bangladesh (Dey et al., 2019), Thailand (Mangkit et al., 2014), and the Czech Republic (Vadlejch et al., 2014). Moreover, the nucleotide difference at position 24 also is known as a restriction site of BfaI of H. *placei*, being can be used as a diagnostic marker to differentiate *H. placei* from *H.* contortus (Stevension et al., 1995). In addition, the mean sequences identity of H. contortus in goats between the two countries showed 98.97% homology, compared with 97% homology for H. placei in Thailand and 96.86% homology in Lao PDR. The UPGMA method on a global scale is well supported and this method in the current study demonstrated that all genotypes of H. contortus from Thailand and Lao PDR were grouped in the same clade with H. contortus from various hosts in different countries with 98.77% homology, and were also clearly distinguished from *H. placei* with 97.09% homology on average. These features are consistent with some reports in Thailand

(Mangkit et al., 2014; Laosutthipong & Eardmusic, 2019) and in Bangladesh (Dey et al., 2019). Interestingly, we also found that there were some genotypes of *H. contortus* that shared their genetic information between the two countries that might have been a consequence of infected host movement through Thailand-Laos border crossing points from the past and ongoing in the present. Therefore, based on the DF combined with synlophe patterns and supporting genetic data analysis using the UPGMA method, we assumed that all selected randomly specimens obtained from goats in Thailand and Lao PDR were the highly pathogenic nematode, H. contortus.

Significant morphological differences of H. contortus between Thailand and Lao PDR in the recent study were found in the body length, gubernaculum, and the left spicule barb length (Table 6). These differences might be associated with some specific factors, namely the area of study, diet, age of host, and parasite intensity (Kuchai et al., 2012) including the effect of fixative agent (ethanol) on the body of the parasite (Jacquite et al., 1997). Our findings indicated that the mean body length and other structure lengths of male *H. contortus* from both countries were varied somewhat compared with the findings of other researchers in previous investigations depending on the location of the study and the hosts, such as in ruminants in North America (Lichtenfels et al., 1994), in goats and sheep in Malaysia (Rahman & Abd Humid, 2007; Gharamah et al., 2011; Gharamah et al., 2014), in sheep in Egypt (Badawy et al., 2015), in goats in Indonesia (Sambodo et al., 2018), and in sheep in Brazil (Santos et al., 2014). However, most researchers recommended using the spicule and barb lengths as some of the most useful morphological characters for classifying Haemonchus spp. in ruminants (Jacquiet et al., 1997; Achi et al., 2003; Kumsa et al., 2008; Gharamah et al., 2011; Gharamah et al., 2014). In fact, our observations based on these characters of some individual H. contortus from Thailand and Lao PDR were not all within the ranges for *H. contortus* described by Lichtenfels et al. (1994), and some specimens

also overlapped in length with other species except for the spicule and barb lengths of H. similis, a parasite of cattle, that were distinguished clearly. In contrast, considering the mean lengths of the right and left spicule and barbs of H. contortus in the current study, there were minor differences from the mean length of the spicule $(425 \,\mu\text{m})$ and right (42 μ m)/left (22 μ m) barbs of H. contortus as referenced by Lichtenfels et al. (1994). As mentioned above, overlapping measurements of spicule and barb lengths including other structure lengths based on the individual worms in the current study were also consistent with some researchers, namely Lichtenfels et al. (1994), Santos et al. (2014), and Vadlejch et al. (2014), especially for the two main species, *H. contortus* and H. placei. Our study suggested that the use of these spicule and barb lengths may influence species identification and lead to misclassification in some samples. This is in agreement with Silva et al. (2015) who revealed that overlapping measurements occurred in the body length of some female worms, making this parameter are less valuable for identifying *Haemonchus* spp. However, the current observations revealed that applying spicule and barb lengths via the DF formula might be a better approach, while synlophe patterns and possibly molecular methods should be combined.

CONCLUSION

The present study was the first morphological identification of adult male *Haemonchus* spp. in goats raised in different regions of Thailand and Lao PDR using the discriminant function (DF) and synlophe patterns at the esophageal intestinal junction (EI) and at 4 mm from the anterior end. This research revealed the DF and synlophe patterns to be useful tools, particularly the synlophe patterns for identifying *Haemonchus* spp. and further subclassification to *H. contortus*. The synlophe patterns in the EI region seemed to be more promising than at 4 mm from the anterior end for identifying *Haemonchus* spp. Therefore, the use of the DF combined with

synlophe patterns increased the accuracy of identifying *Haemonchus* spp. using a lowcost diagnostic device, and also provided invaluable epidemiological data on these parasites of goats in Thailand and Lao PDR, where advanced techniques and large budgets are not available in endemic areas. However, in some specimens, molecular techniques are also required.

Acknowledgments. The authors thank Prof. Dr. Sathaporn Jittapalapong, the Dean of the Faculty of Veterinary Technology, Kasetsart University, Thailand and Dr. Somphong Chanthavong, the Dean of the Faculty of Agriculture and Envelopment, Savannakhet University, Lao PDR for providing support with all relevant facilities to conduct this research. We are grateful to the goat farmers both Thailand and Lao PDR and to Mr. Soukvilay Yomsamon and Mr. Xaykham Onphachan for their help in sample collection. We also acknowledge the assistance of the relevant technical staff at both Faculties. We also thank Asst. Prof. Dr. Mereerat Manwong, College of Medicine and Public Health Ubon Ratchathani University, Thailand for valuable advice in statistical data analysis, and we would like to thank Dr. Andrew Warner (an Australian), Kasetsart University Research and Development Institute, Kasetsart University, Bangkok, Thailand to assist in English editing of the manuscript.

Funding

This work was financially supported by a Kasetsart University Scholarship for ASEAN for Commemoration of the 60th Birthday Anniversary of Professor Dr. Her Royal Highness Princess Chulabhorn Mahidol.

Ethics approval

This research was approved by the Kasetsart University Institutional Animal Care and Committee Use with the approval no. ACKU62-VTN-006, Kasetsart University, Thailand.

Conflict of interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Achi, Y.L., Zinsstag, J., Yao, K., Yeo, N., Dorchies, P. & Jacquiet, P. (2003). Host specificity of *Haemonchus* spp. for domestic ruminants in the savanna in northern Ivory Coast. *Veterinary Parasitology* **116**(2): 151-158.
- Akkari, H., Gharbi, M., Awadi, S., Mohamed, A.D. & Kumsa, B. (2013). New sublinguiform vulvar flap of *Haemonchus* species in naturally infected domestic ruminants in Béja Abattoir, North Tunisia. *Veterinarski Arhiv* 82(4): 281-291.
- Amarante, A.F.T. (2011). Why is it important to correctly identify *Haemonchus* species?. *Revista Brasileira de Parasitologia Veterinária* **20**(4): 263-268.
- Badawy, A.I.I., Alzohairy, A.M., Abdel-Aziz, A.,
 Abou El-Nour, M.F. & Elsayed, A.N. (2015). Morphological and molecular characterization of *Haemonchus* contortus (Rudolphi, 1803) Cobb, 1898 (Nematoda: Trichostrongyloidea) from sheep, Ovis aries in Egypt based on the second internal transcribed spacer of ribosomal DNA. International Journal of Advanced Research **3**(5): 1152-1161.
- Besier, R.B., Kahn, L.P., Sargison, N.D. & Van Wyk, J.A. (2016). The Pathophysiology, Ecology and Epidemiology of *Haemonchus contortus* Infection in Small Ruminants. *Advances in Parasitology* **93**: 95-143.
- Comes, A.M., Humbert, J.F., Cabaret, J. & Elard, L. (1996). Using molecular tools for diagnosis in veterinary parasitology. *Veterinary Research* **27**(4-5): 333-342.
- Dey, A.R., Zhang, Z., Begum, N., Alim, M.A., Hu, M. & Alam, M.Z. (2019). Genetic diversity patterns of *Haemonchus* contortus isolated from sheep and goats in Bangladesh. *Infection, Genetics and* Evolution **68**: 177-184.
- Emery, D.L., Hunt, P.W. & Le Jambre, L.F. (2016). *Haemonchus contortus*: the then and now, and where to from here?. *International Journal for Parasitology* **46**(12): 755-769.

- Gasser, R.B. & Newton, S.E. (2000). Genomic and genetic research on bursate nematodes: significance, implications and prospects. *International Journal for Parasitology* **30**(4): 509-534.
- Gharamah, A.A., Azizah, M.N. & Rahman, W.A. (2012). Genetic variation of *Haemonchus* contortus (Trichostrongylidae) in sheep and goats from Malaysia and Yemen. Veterinary Parasitology 188(3-4): 268-276.
- Gharamah, A.A., Rahman, W.A. & Azizah, M.S. (2014). Morphological variation between isolates of the nematode *Haemonchus contortus* from sheep and goat populations in Malaysia and Yemen. *Journal of Helminthology* **88**(1): 82-88.
- Gharamah, A.A., Rahman, W.A. & Nor, S.A.M. (2011). Phenotypic differences of *Haemonchus contortus* from sheep and goats in the States of Perak and Kelantan, Peninsular Malaysia. Acta Parasitologica 56(4): 412-417.
- Hall, T.A. (1999). BioEdit: A user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/ 98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symposium Series 41: 95-98.
- Heise, M., Epe, C. & Schnieder, T. (1999). Differences in the second internal transcribed spacer (ITS-2) of eight species of gastrointestinal nematodes of ruminants. *Journal of Parasitology* 85(3): 431-435.
- Hoberg, E.P., Lichtenfels, J.R. & Gibbons,
 L. (2004). Phylogeny for species of *Haemonchus* (Nematoda: Trichostrongyloidea): considerations of their evolutionary history and global biogeography among Camelidae and Pecora (Artiodactyla). *Journal of Parasitology* **90**(5): 1085-1102.
- Jacquiet, P., Cabaret, J., Cheikh, D. & Thiam, E. (1997). Identification of *Haemonchus* species in domestic ruminants based on morphometrics of spicules. *Parasitology Research* 83(1): 82-86.

- Jacquiet, P., Humbert, J.F., Comes, A.M., Cabaret, J., Thiam, A. & Cheikh, D. (1995). Ecological, morphological and genetic characterization of sympatric *Haemonchus* spp. parasites of domestic ruminants in Mauritania. *Parasitology* **110**(Pt 4): 483-492.
- Kandil, O.M., Abdelrahman, K.A., Fahmy, H.A., Mahmoud, M.S., El Namaky, A.H.
 & Miller, J.E. (2017). Phylogenetic patterns of *Haemonchus contortus* and related trichostrongylid nematodes isolated from Egyptian sheep. *Journal* of *Helminthology* **91**(5): 583-588.
- Kuchai, J.A., Ahmad, F., Chishti, M.Z., Tak, H., Ahmad, J., Ahmad, S. & Rasool, M. (2012).
 A study on morphology and morphometry of *Haemonchus contortus*. *Pakistan Journal of Zoology* 44(6): 1737-1741.
- Kumar, S., Stecher, G. & Tamura, K. (2016). MEGA7: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 33: 1870-1874.
- Kumsa, B., Tolera, A. & Abebe, R. (2008). Vulvar morphology and sympatry of *Haemonchus* species in naturally infected sheep and goats of Ogaden region, eastern Ethiopia. Veterinarski Arhiv 78: 331-342.
- Laosutthipong, C. & Eardmusic, S. (2019). Genetic characterization of *Haemonchus* contortus from slaughtered goats in Cha-am district, Phetchaburi province, Thailand. Songklanakarin Journal of Science and Technology **41**: 81-88.
- LeJambre, L.F. & Whitlock, J.H. (1968). Seasonal fluctuation in linguiform morphs of *Haemonchus contortus* cayugensis. *Parasitology* **54**(4): 827-830.
- Lichtenfels, J.R. & Pilitt, P.A. 2000. Synlophe patterns of the haemonchinae of ruminants (Nematoda: Trichostrongyloidea). *Journal of Parasitology* **86**(5): 1093-1098.

- Lichtenfels, J.R., Pilitt, P.A. & Hoberg, E.P. (1994). New morphological characters for identifying individual specimens of *Haemonchus* spp. (Nematoda: Trichostrongyloidea) and a key to species in ruminants of North America. *Journal of Parasitology* **80**(1): 107-119.
- Lichtenfels, J.R., Pilitt, P.A. & LeJambre, L.F. (1986). Cuticular ridge patterns of *Haemonchus contortus* and *Haemonchus placei* (Nematoda: Trichostrongyloidea). *Proceedings of the Helminthological Society of Washington* **53**(1): 94-101.
- MAFF (Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food). (1971). *Manual of Veterinary Parasitological Laboratory Techniques*, London: HM Stationery Oce.
- Mangkit, B., Thaenkham, U., Adisakwattana, P., Watthanakulpanich, D., Jantasuriyarat, C. & Komalamisra, C. (2014). Molecular Characterization of *Haemonchus contortus* (Nematoda: Trichostrongylidae) from small ruminants in Thailand based on the second internal transcribed spacer of ribosomal DNA. *Kasetsart Journal* (*Natural Science*) **48**(5): 740-758.
- Pralomkarn, W. & Boonsanit, D. (2012). Knowledge in goats in Thailand. *Walailak Journal of Science and Technology* **9**(2): 93-105.
- Rahman, W.A. & Abd Hamid, S. (2007). Morphological characterization of *Haemonchus contortus* in goats (*Capra hircus*) and sheep (*Ovis aries*) in Penang, Malaysia. *Tropical Biomedicine* 24(1): 23-27.
- Roeber, F., Jex, A.R., Campbell, A.J., Campbell, B.E., Anderson, G.A. & Gasser,
 R.B. (2011). Evaluation and application of a molecular method to assess the composition of strongylid nematode populations in sheep with naturally acquired infections. *Infection, Genetics* and Evolution 11(5): 849-854.

- Salle, G., Doyle, S.R., Cortet, J., Cabaret, J., Berriman, M., Holroyd, N. & Cotton, J.A. (2019). The global diversity of *Haemonchus contortus* is shaped by human intervention and climate. *Nature Communications* **10**(1): 4811.
- Sambodo, P., Prastowo, J., Indarjulianto, S. & Kurniasih, K. (2018). Morphology and morphometry of *Haemonchus contortus* in goats in Yogyakarta, Indonesia. *Jurnal Kedokteran Hewan* **12**(3): 62-65.
- Santos, M.C., Amarante, M.R., Silva, M.R. & Amarante, A.F. (2014). Differentiation of *Haemonchus placei* from *Haemonchus contortus* by PCR and by morphometrics of adult parasites and third stage larvae. *Revista Brasileira de Parasitologia Veterinária* 23(4): 495-500.
- Sato, M.O., Sato, M., Chaisiri, K., Maipanich, W., Yoonuan, T., Sanguankiat, S., Pongvongsa, T., Boupha, B., Moji, K. & Waikagul, J. (2014). Nematode infection among ruminants in monsoon climate (Ban-Lahanam, Lao PDR) and its role as food-borne zoonosis. *Revista Brasileira de Parasitologia Veterinária* 23(1): 80-84.
- Shen, D.D., Wang, J.F., Zhang, D.Y., Peng, Z.W., Yang, T.Y., Wang, Z.D., Bowman, D.D., Hou, Z.J. & Liu, Z.S. (2017). Genetic diversity of *Haemonchus contortus* isolated from sympatric wild blue sheep (*Pseudois nayaur*) and sheep in Helan mountains, China. *Parasites & Vectors* **10**(1): 437.
- Silva, M.R., Amarante, M.R., Bresciani, K.D. & Amarante, A.F. (2015). Host-specificity and morphometrics of female *Haemonchus contortus*, *H. placei* and *H. similis* (Nematoda: Trichostrongylidae) in cattle and sheep from shared pastures in Sao Paulo State, Brazil. *Journal of Helminthology* **89**(3): 302-306.
- Soulsby, E.J.L. (1982). *Helminthes, arthropods and protozoa of domesticated animals,* 7th edition. London: Bailliere Tindall.
- Stevenson, L.A., Chilton, N.B. & Gasser, R.B. (1995). Differentiation of *Haemonchus* placei from *H. contortus* (Nematoda: Trichostrongylidae) by the ribosomal DNA second internal transcribed spacer. *International Journal for Parasitology* 25(4): 483-488.

- Troell, K., Tingstedt, C. & Hoglund, J. (2006). Phenotypic characterization of *Haemon-chus contortus*: a study of isolates from Sweden and Kenya in experimentally infected sheep. *Parasitology* **132**(Pt 3): 403-409.
- Vadlejch, J., Lukesova, D., Vasek, J., Vejl, P., Sedlak, P., Cadkova, Z., Langrova, I., Jankovska, I. & Salaba, O. (2014). Comparative morphological and molecular identification of *Haemonchus* species in sheep. *Helminthologia* 51(2): 130-140.
- Valderrabano, J., Delfa, R. & Uriarte, J. (2002). Effect of level of feed intake on the development of gastrointestinal parasitism in growing lambs. *Veterinary Parasitology* **104**(4): 327-338.
- Vongnady, K., Rucksaken, R. & Mangkit, B. (2020). Morphological characters for identifying adult female *Haemonchus* species (Nematoda: Trichostrongyloidea) in naturally infected goats in Thailand. *The 50th National Graduate Research Conference*: 1146-1173.
- Waller, P.J. & Chandrawathani, P. (2005). *Haemonchus contortus*: parasite problem No. 1 from tropics-Polar Circle. Problems and prospects for control based on epidemiology. *Tropical Biomedicine* 22(2): 131-137.
- Waller, P.J., Rudby-Martin, L., Ljungstrom, B.L. & Rydzik, A. (2004). The epidemiology of abomasal nematodes of sheep in Sweden, with particular reference to over-winter survival strategies. *Veterinary Parasitology* **122**(3): 207-220.
- Wang, C., Li, F., Zhang, Z., Yang, X., Ahmad, A.A., Li, X., Du, A. & Hu, M. (2017). Recent research progress in China on Haemonchus contortus. Frontiers in Microbiology 8: 1509.
- Yin, F., Gasser, R.B., Li, F., Bao, M., Huang, W., Zou, F., Zhao, G., Wang, C., Yang, X., Zhou, Y., Zhao, J., Fang, R. & Hu, M. (2013). Genetic variability within and among *Haemonchus contortus* isolates from goats and sheep in China. *Parasites* & Vectors 6(1): 279.